

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Civil Petition for Review - Family Court**

**CV-26-0048-PR**

**WALLS-BEY v AUSTIN**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 11-Feb-2026  
 Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. JOHNNY RAY WALLS-BEY, Petitioner/Appellant**  
 (Litigant Group) JOHNNY RAY WALLS-BEY

- Johnny Ray Walls-Bey PRO SE

**Side 2. ALEXIS JORDAN AUSTIN, Respondent/Appellee**  
 (Litigant Group) ALEXIS JORDAN AUSTIN

- Alexis Jordan Austin PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Feb 11, 2026....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CV 25-0429 FC					
↳ MAR FC2025-001632	Order of Protection Transfer		Gary L Popham, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		
↳ PHOEN 5906322			Comments: (none)		
1 CA 1 CA-CV 25-0447 FC					
↳ MAR FC2025-001405	Petition to Establish Legal Decision Making, Parenting time and Child Support		Bradley H Astrowsky, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 11-Feb-2026 FILED: Petition For Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Order from the Court of Appeals Deciding the Case (Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se)
- 17-Feb-2026 The record provided by the Court of Appeals reflects a court order finding Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se, eligible for a deferral of fees. Under Arizona Revised Statutes § 12-302(l), notice is hereby provided that the fee deferral in 1 CA-CV 25-0447 FC for Appellant Walls-Bey has been continued in CV-26-0048-PR.  
  
Appellant Walls-Bey is required to report any change in financial circumstances to the Court by filing a document describing the change.  
  
At the conclusion of the case, the court may waive fees, order the party to pay fees, or order the party to provide an updated application. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 17-Feb-2026 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 1-Apr-2026 FILED: Petitioner's Motion to Suspend Proceedings, Objection to Clerk's Record, and Demand to Remand for Correction of Systemic Database Corruption Pursuant to ARCAP 11(g); Certificate of Service; Exhibit A; Exhibit B (Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se)

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Civil Petition for Review - Family Court**

**CV-26-0048-PR**

**WALLS-BEY v AUSTIN**

**9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

5. 22-Apr-2026 Petitioner Walls-Bey, pro se, filed, "Petitioner's Motion to Suspend Proceedings, Objection to Clerk's Record, and Demand to Remand for Correction of Systemic Database Corruption Pursuant to ARCAP 11(g)" on April 1, 2026. No response has been received.
- Petitioner filed his Petition for Review in this Court listing Court of Appeals case numbers 1 CA-CV 25-0429 FC; 1 CA-CV 25-0447 FC; Maricopa County Superior Court case numbers FC2025-001632, and FC2025-001405. The January 28, 2026 Court of Appeals memorandum decision also listed Phoenix Municipal Court case number 5906322.
- The Clerk of this Court advises that when entering Petitioner's lower court case numbers in this Court's docket, the Clerk's office inadvertently entered a case number ending in -0047, rather than Petitioner's case number ending in -0447. This typographical error resulted in case data from an unrelated probate case temporarily appearing on this Court's docket. This was corrected by the Clerk's office before Petitioner filed his motion. No documents generated from this Court have been distributed to the unrelated probate case. No documents from the unrelated probate case have been transmitted to this Court.
- Specifically, the Clerk's office advises that the data associated with the Court's docket in this case is not linked to any court's exhibits or records repositories. On consideration,
- IT IS ORDERED denying the motion. The petition for review will be considered in due course. (Hon William G. Montgomery)
6. 24-Apr-2026 FILED: Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration of the April 22, 2026, Order, Request for Judicial Notice, and Renewed Demand to Remand for Superior Court Recertification of the Record; Certificate of Service (Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se)
7. 21-May-2026 On April 1, 2026, petitioner Walls-Bey, pro se, filed "Petitioner's Motion to Suspend Proceedings, Objection to Clerk's Record, and Demand to Remand for Correction of Systemic Database Corruption Pursuant to ARCAP 11(g)." On April 22, 2026, the Court denied the motion. On April 24, 2026, Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se filed "Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration of the April 22, 2026, Order, Request for Judicial Notice, and Renewed Demand to Remand for Superior Court Recertification of the Record."
- Corrections or modifications to the record on appeal are governed by ARCAP 11(g). Under that rule, motions to correct the record are addressed in the superior court. The Court notes that on April 13, 2026, in FC 2025-001632, the superior court entered an order denying relief, noting "Petitioner has not shown any basis for relief. The Court has reviewed the Index of Record submitted by the Clerk of the Superior Court in each case. In each matter, the Index of Record appears to be a complete list of the filings. Notably, Petitioner has not identified what specific evidence he claims has been omitted. Without more information, the Court is unable to assist." The superior court entered an identical order in the FC2025-001405 case.
- Likewise, in his motion for reconsideration, Petitioner fails to identify any specific exhibit that is "missing, replaced or materially altered." (Motion for Reconsideration at page 4) Therefore,
- IT IS ORDERED denying "Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration of the April 22, 2026, Order, Request for Judicial Notice, and Renewed Demand to Remand for Superior Court Recertification of the Record." The Petition for Review will be considered in due course. (Hon William G. Montgomery)
8. 28-May-2026 FILED: Petitioner's Renewed Motion to Correct Record Pursuant to ARCAP 11(g) and Request for En Banc Review; Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus; Motion to Recuse Duty Justice Montgomery; and Request for Judicial Notice of Systemic Record Corruption; Certificate of Service; Emergency Motion for Judicial Notice and Acceptance of Appendix of Omitted Record; Offer of Proof Under ARCAP 11(e); Exhibit (Appellant Walls-Bey, Pro Se)
9. 1-Jun-2026 On May 21, 2026, the Court entered an order denying "Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration of the April 22, 2026, Order, Request for Judicial Notice, and Renewed Demand to Remand for Superior Court Recertification of the Record."
- On May 28, 2026, Petitioner filed "Petitioner's Renewed Motion to Correct the Record Pursuant to ARCAP 11(g)and Request for En Banc Review; Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus; Motion to Recuse Duty Justice Montgomery; and Request for Judicial Notice of Systemic Record Corruption," and separately filed an "Emergency Motion for Judicial Notice and Acceptance of Appendix of Omitted Record; offer of Proof Under ARCAP 11(e)."
- IT IS ORDERED The requests for emergency consideration are denied. The petition for review and other pending motions will be considered in due course. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)